

The Applied Pi Calculus... with Proofs

Bruno Blanchet

INRIA Paris-Rocquencourt

joint work with Martín Abadi and Cédric Fournet

April 2015

The applied pi calculus

- Designed by Abadi and Fournet (*Mobile Values, New Names, and Secure Communication*, POPL'01).
- Extension of the **pi calculus** with **terms** instead of names for messages.
- Language for modeling security protocols:
 - Terms represent protocol messages.
 - Function symbols represent cryptographic primitives.
 - The properties of these primitives are modeled by equations.
 - The input language of ProVerif is a dialect of the applied pi calculus.
- The applied pi calculus and ProVerif are widely used.
 - Interesting to make them converge, with a solid theoretical foundation.

Our contribution

- Minor changes to the language
 - Closer to ProVerif
- Detailed proofs of all results
 - Minor fixes; some side-conditions were not explicit
 - 74 pages of proofs. . .
- Revised examples
 - New example on indifferentiability

Related work

- Avik Chaudhuri (private communication, 2007)
 - found a counter-example to “observational equivalence equals labelled bisimilarity”, due to a missing side-condition.
- Bengtson et al, LICS’09
 - mentioned a similar counter-example;
 - proposed a framework for defining various extensions of the pi calculus (psi-calculi), with machine-checked proofs.
- Jia Liu (<http://lcs.ios.ac.cn/~jliu/papers/LiuJia0608.pdf>)
 - made the missing side-condition explicit, and gave a proof of “observational equivalence equals labelled bisimilarity”;
 - closer to the original applied pi calculus paper;
 - extension to a stateful variant (POST’14, with Arapinis, Ritter, and Ryan).

Syntax: processes

$L, M, N, T, U, V ::=$	terms
$a, b, c, \dots, k, \dots, m, n, \dots, s$	name
x, y, z	variable
$f(M_1, \dots, M_l)$	function application
$P, Q, R ::=$	processes (or plain processes)
$\mathbf{0}$	null process
$P \mid Q$	parallel composition
$!P$	replication
$\nu n.P$	name restriction (“new”)
<i>if</i> $M = N$ <i>then</i> P <i>else</i> Q	conditional
$u(x).P$	message input
$\bar{u}\langle M \rangle.P$	message output

Syntax: processes

$L, M, N, T, U, V ::=$	terms
$a, b, c, \dots, k, \dots, m, n, \dots, s$	name
x, y, z	variable
$f(M_1, \dots, M_l)$	function application
$P, Q, R ::=$	processes (or plain processes)
$\mathbf{0}$	null process
$P \mid Q$	parallel composition
$!P$	replication
$\nu n.P$	name restriction (“new”)
$\text{if } M = N \text{ then } P \text{ else } Q$	conditional
$\mathbf{N}(x).P$	message input
$\overline{\mathbf{N}}\langle M \rangle.P$	message output

Syntax: extended processes

$A, B, C ::=$

P

$A | B$

$\nu n.A$

$\nu x.A$

$\{M/x\}$

extended processes

plain process

parallel composition

name restriction

variable restriction

active substitution

- Active substitutions model the knowledge of the adversary.
- $\{M_1/x_1, \dots, M_l/x_l\}$ for $\{M_1/x_1\} | \dots | \{M_l/x_l\}$.
- Substitutions are cycle-free.
- At most one substitution for each variable.
- Exactly one when the variable is restricted.

Sorts

Variables, names, and functions come with sorts:

- $u : \tau$ means that u has sort τ .
 - Examples of sorts: Integer, Key, Data, ...
 - There are infinite numbers of variables and names of each sort.
- $f : \tau_1 \times \cdots \times \tau_l \rightarrow \tau$ means that f has arguments of sorts τ_1, \dots, τ_l and a result of sort τ .

Sorts

Special sort $\text{Channel}\langle\tau\rangle$ for channels.

Sorts

Special sort **Channel** for channels.

- The unsorted applied pi is a particular case of the sorted applied pi, using the single sort Channel.

The sort system enforces that:

- Functional applications are well-sorted.
- M and N are of the same sort in the conditional expression.
- N has sort Channel in the input and output expressions.
 - The sort system can enforce that channels are names or variables: choose types of functions so that no function returns sort Channel.
- Active substitutions preserve sorts.

Semantics: equations

The signature Σ is equipped with an **equational theory**

- closed under substitutions of terms for variables **and names**;
 - intuitively, defined from equations that do not contain names;
- respects the sort system;
- **non-trivial**, that is, there exist two different terms in each sort.

Example

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fst}((x, y)) &= x \\ \text{snd}((x, y)) &= y \\ \text{dec}(\text{enc}(x, y), y) &= x \\ \text{check}(x, \text{sign}(x, \text{sk}(y)), \text{pk}(y)) &= \text{ok} \end{aligned}$$

Equality modulo the equational theory: $\Sigma \vdash M = N$.

Semantics: preliminary definitions

Processes are considered **equal modulo renaming of bound names and variables**.

- Needed to define $P\{M/x\}$.

A context is a (possibly extended) process with a hole.

An **evaluation context** is a context whose hole is not under a replication, a conditional, an input, or an output.

$E ::=$	evaluation context
-	hole
$A \mid E$	parallel composition
$E \mid A$	parallel composition
$\nu n.E$	name restriction
$\nu x.E$	variable restriction

Semantics: structural equivalence

Structural equivalence \equiv

- equivalence relation
- closed by application of evaluation contexts

$$\text{PAR-}\mathbf{0} \quad A \equiv A \mid \mathbf{0}$$

$$\text{PAR-A} \quad A \mid (B \mid C) \equiv (A \mid B) \mid C$$

$$\text{PAR-C} \quad A \mid B \equiv B \mid A$$

$$\text{REPL} \quad !P \equiv P \mid !P$$

$$\text{NEW-}\mathbf{0} \quad \nu n. \mathbf{0} \equiv \mathbf{0}$$

$$\text{NEW-C} \quad \nu u. \nu v. A \equiv \nu v. \nu u. A$$

$$\text{NEW-PAR} \quad A \mid \nu u. B \equiv \nu u. (A \mid B)$$

when $u \notin \text{fv}(A) \cup \text{fn}(A)$

$$\text{ALIAS} \quad \nu x. \{M/x\} \equiv \mathbf{0}$$

$$\text{SUBST} \quad \{M/x\} \mid A \equiv \{M/x\} \mid A\{M/x\}$$

$$\text{REWRITE} \quad \{M/x\} \equiv \{N/x\} \quad \text{when } \Sigma \vdash M = N$$

Semantics: internal reduction

Internal reduction \rightarrow

- closed by structural equivalence
- closed by application of evaluation contexts

$$\text{COMM} \quad \overline{N}\langle x \rangle.P \mid N(x).Q \rightarrow P \mid Q$$

$$\text{THEN} \quad \text{if } M = M \text{ then } P \text{ else } Q \rightarrow P$$

$$\text{ELSE} \quad \text{if } M = N \text{ then } P \text{ else } Q \rightarrow Q$$

for any ground terms M and N
such that $\Sigma \not\vdash M = N$

Using structural equivalence:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{N}\langle M \rangle.P \mid N(x).Q &\equiv \nu x.(\{M/x\} \mid \overline{N}\langle x \rangle.P \mid N(x).Q) \\ &\rightarrow \nu x.(\{M/x\} \mid P \mid Q) \quad \text{by COMM} \\ &\equiv P \mid Q\{M/x\} \end{aligned}$$

Preliminary definitions

- $dom(A)$: domain, set of variables that A exports.
- $fv(A)$: free variables
- A is **closed** when its free variables are all defined by an active substitution, that is, $dom(A) = fv(A)$.
- $E[_]$ **closes** A when $E[A]$ is closed.
- $A \Downarrow a$ when $A \rightarrow^* \equiv E[\bar{a}\langle M \rangle.P]$ for some evaluation context $E[_]$ that does not bind a .
 - A can send a message on channel a .

Observational equivalence

Definition

An **observational bisimulation** is a symmetric relation \mathcal{R} between closed extended processes with the same domain such that $A \mathcal{R} B$ implies:

- 1 if $A \Downarrow a$, then $B \Downarrow a$;
- 2 if $A \rightarrow^* A'$ and A' is closed, then $B \rightarrow^* B'$ and $A' \mathcal{R} B'$ for some B' ;
- 3 $E[A] \mathcal{R} E[B]$ for all closing evaluation contexts $E[-]$.

Observational equivalence (\approx) is the largest such relation.

- Intuitively, $A \approx B$ when an adversary (evaluation context) cannot distinguish A from B .
- Hard to prove because of the universal quantification over all contexts.
 - Use a labeled bisimulation.

Equality in a frame

A **frame** φ is an extended process built up from $\mathbf{0}$ and active substitutions $\{M/x\}$ by parallel composition and restriction.

The **frame of** A , $\varphi(A)$, is obtained replacing every plain process in A with $\mathbf{0}$.

Definition

Two terms M and N are **equal in the frame** φ , written $(M = N)_\varphi$, if and only if

- $fv(M) \cup fv(N) \subseteq dom(\varphi)$,
- $\varphi \equiv \nu \tilde{n} . \sigma$, $M\sigma = N\sigma$, and $\{\tilde{n}\} \cap (fn(M) \cup fn(N)) = \emptyset$ for some names \tilde{n} and substitution σ .

Independent of the representative $\nu \tilde{n} . \sigma$.

Static equivalence

Definition

Two closed frames φ and ψ are **statically equivalent**, written $\varphi \approx_s \psi$, when

- $dom(\varphi) = dom(\psi)$ and
- for all terms M and N , $(M = N)\varphi$ if and only if $(M = N)\psi$.

Two closed extended processes are **statically equivalent**, written $A \approx_s B$, when their frames are statically equivalent.

- Static equivalence $\varphi \approx_s \psi$ expresses that the frames cannot be distinguished by performing equality tests.
- $A \approx_s B$ expresses that the current knowledge of the adversary in the processes A and B does not allow it to distinguish A from B . The dynamic behavior of A and B is ignored.

Labels

The labelled semantics defines $A \xrightarrow{\alpha} A'$ where α is a label:

- $N(M)$: input of M on channel N ;
- $\nu x.\bar{N}\langle x \rangle$: output of x on channel N .
 x must not occur in N .

$bv(N(M)) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \emptyset$ and $bv(\nu x.\bar{N}\langle x \rangle) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x\}$.

$fv(N(M)) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} fv(N) \cup fv(M)$ and $fv(\nu x.\bar{N}\langle x \rangle) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} fv(N)$.

The conference paper has labels $\bar{a}\langle u \rangle$ and $\nu u.\bar{a}\langle u \rangle$ for outputs.

- We simplify the semantics by having a single output label.
- One always needs to create a fresh variable for the output message.
- A refined semantics allows $\nu \tilde{u}.\bar{N}\langle M \rangle$ as label.

Labeled semantics

$$\text{IN} \quad N(x).P \xrightarrow{N(M)} P\{M/x\}$$

$$\text{OUT-VAR} \quad \frac{x \notin \text{fv}(\overline{N}\langle M \rangle.P)}{\overline{N}\langle M \rangle.P \xrightarrow{\nu x.\overline{N}\langle x \rangle} P\{M/x\}}$$

$$\text{SCOPE} \quad \frac{A \xrightarrow{\alpha} A' \quad u \text{ does not occur in } \alpha}{\nu u.A \xrightarrow{\alpha} \nu u.A'}$$

$$\text{PAR} \quad \frac{A \xrightarrow{\alpha} A' \quad \text{bv}(\alpha) \cap \text{fv}(B) = \emptyset}{A | B \xrightarrow{\alpha} A' | B}$$

$$\text{STRUCT} \quad \frac{A \equiv B \quad B \xrightarrow{\alpha} B' \quad B' \equiv A'}{A \xrightarrow{\alpha} A'}$$

Labeled bisimilarity

Definition

A **labelled bisimulation** is a symmetric relation \mathcal{R} on closed extended processes such that $A \mathcal{R} B$ implies:

- ① $A \approx_s B$;
- ② if $A \rightarrow A'$ and A' is closed, then $B \rightarrow^* B'$ and $A' \mathcal{R} B'$ for some B' ;
- ③ if $A \xrightarrow{\alpha} A'$, A' is closed, and $fv(\alpha) \subseteq dom(A)$, then $B \rightarrow^* \xrightarrow{\alpha} \rightarrow^* B'$ and $A' \mathcal{R} B'$ for some B' .

Labelled bisimilarity (\approx_l) is the largest such relation.

- Item 1 guarantees that the adversary cannot distinguish A from B using its current knowledge.
- Items 2 and 3 guarantee that this property is preserved by reduction.

Main theorem

Theorem

Observational equivalence is labelled bisimilarity: $\approx = \approx_l$.

Bengtson et al's counter example

$$A = \nu a.(\{^a/x\} \mid x(y).\bar{b}\langle M \rangle.\mathbf{0}) \quad B = \nu a.(\{^a/x\} \mid \mathbf{0})$$

- A and B are not observationally equivalent
 - The context $\bar{x}\langle N \rangle$ distinguishes them.
- According to the POPL'01 paper:
 - A and B have the same frame and no transitions,
 - so they are labelled bisimilar.
- A possible fix is to require that exported variables must not be of channel type.
- In our semantics,
 - A has a labelled transition $x(N)$,
 - so A and B are not labelled bisimilar.

Motivation

- Structural equivalence complicates the analysis of possible reductions in a process.
- In a process $A \mid B$,
 - substitutions in A may influence the possible reductions in B ,
 - and conversely, substitutions in B may influence reductions in A .

Partial normal forms

Partial formal form of an extended process A :

$$\text{pnf}(A) = \nu \tilde{n}. (\{\tilde{M}/\tilde{x}\} \mid P)$$

with $(fv(P) \cup fv(\tilde{M})) \cap \{\tilde{x}\} = \emptyset$.

Formally defined by induction on A .

Lemma

$$A \equiv \text{pnf}(A).$$

Structural equivalence on plain processes

Structural equivalence $\stackrel{\diamond}{\equiv}$ on plain processes

- equivalence relation
- closed by application of evaluation contexts

$$\begin{array}{lclcl}
 \text{PAR-0}' & P \mid \mathbf{0} & \stackrel{\diamond}{\equiv} & P & \\
 \text{PAR-A}' & P \mid (Q \mid R) & \stackrel{\diamond}{\equiv} & (P \mid Q) \mid R & \\
 \text{PAR-C}' & P \mid Q & \stackrel{\diamond}{\equiv} & Q \mid P & \\
 \text{REPL}' & !P & \stackrel{\diamond}{\equiv} & P \mid !P & \\
 \text{NEW-0}' & \nu n. \mathbf{0} & \stackrel{\diamond}{\equiv} & \mathbf{0} & \\
 \text{NEW-C}' & \nu n. \nu n'. P & \stackrel{\diamond}{\equiv} & \nu n'. \nu n. P & \\
 \text{NEW-PAR}' & P \mid \nu n. Q & \stackrel{\diamond}{\equiv} & \nu n. (P \mid Q) & \text{when } n \notin \text{fn}(P) \\
 \text{REWRITE}' & P\{M/x\} & \stackrel{\diamond}{\equiv} & P\{N/x\} & \text{when } \Sigma \vdash M = N
 \end{array}$$

Structural equivalence on partial normal forms

Structural equivalence $\overset{\circ}{\equiv}$ on extended processes in partial normal form

- equivalence relation

$$\frac{P \overset{\diamond}{\equiv} P' \quad (fv(P) \cup fv(P')) \cap dom(\sigma) = \emptyset}{\nu \tilde{n}.(\sigma \mid P) \overset{\circ}{\equiv} \nu \tilde{n}.(\sigma \mid P')}$$

$$\frac{\tilde{n}' \text{ is a reordering of } \tilde{n}}{\nu \tilde{n}.(\sigma \mid P) \overset{\circ}{\equiv} \nu \tilde{n}'.(\sigma \mid P)}$$

$$\frac{n' \notin fn(\sigma)}{\nu \tilde{n}.(\sigma \mid \nu n'.P) \overset{\circ}{\equiv} \nu \tilde{n}, n'.(\sigma \mid P)}$$

$$\frac{dom(\sigma) = dom(\sigma') \quad \Sigma \vdash x\sigma = x\sigma' \text{ for all } x \in dom(\sigma) \quad (fv(x\sigma) \cup fv(x\sigma')) \cap dom(\sigma) = \emptyset \text{ for all } x \in dom(\sigma)}{\nu \tilde{n}.(\sigma \mid P) \overset{\circ}{\equiv} \nu \tilde{n}.(\sigma' \mid P)}$$

Links between structural equivalences

Lemma

- If $A \equiv B$, then $\text{pnf}(A) \overset{\circ}{\equiv} \text{pnf}(B)$.
- If $P \overset{\diamond}{\equiv} Q$, then $P \equiv Q$.
- If $A \overset{\circ}{\equiv} B$, then $A \equiv B$.

By induction on the derivations.

Internal reduction

Internal reduction \rightarrow_{\diamond} on plain processes

- closed by $\overset{\diamond}{\equiv}$
- closed by application of evaluation contexts

$$\text{COMM}' \quad \overline{N}\langle M \rangle.P \mid N(x).Q \rightarrow_{\diamond} P \mid Q\{M/x\}$$

$$\text{THEN}' \quad \text{if } M = M \text{ then } P \text{ else } Q \rightarrow_{\diamond} P$$

$$\text{ELSE}' \quad \text{if } M = N \text{ then } P \text{ else } Q \rightarrow_{\diamond} Q$$

for any ground terms M and N
such that $\Sigma \not\vdash M = N$

Internal reduction \rightarrow_{\circ} on extended processes in partial normal form

- closed by $\overset{\circ}{\equiv}$

- $$\frac{P \rightarrow_{\diamond} P'}{\nu \tilde{n}.(\sigma \mid P) \rightarrow_{\circ} \nu \tilde{n}.(\sigma \mid P')}$$

Link between internal reductions

Lemma

- *If $A \rightarrow B$, then $\text{pnf}(A) \rightarrow_{\circ} \text{pnf}(B)$.*
- *If $P \rightarrow_{\diamond} Q$, then $P \rightarrow Q$.*
- *If $A \rightarrow_{\circ} B$, then $A \rightarrow B$.*

By induction on the derivations.

Labelled reduction on plain processes

Labelled reduction $P \xrightarrow{\alpha}_{\diamond} A$ on plain processes

$$\text{IN}' \quad N(x).P \xrightarrow{N(M)}_{\diamond} P\{M/x\}$$

$$\text{OUT-VAR}' \quad \frac{x \notin \text{fv}(\overline{N}\langle M \rangle.P)}{\overline{N}\langle M \rangle.P \xrightarrow{\nu x.\overline{N}\langle x \rangle}_{\diamond} P\{M/x\}}$$

$$\text{SCOPE}' \quad \frac{P \xrightarrow{\alpha}_{\diamond} A \quad n \text{ does not occur in } \alpha}{\nu n.P \xrightarrow{\alpha}_{\diamond} \nu n.A}$$

$$\text{PAR}' \quad \frac{P \xrightarrow{\alpha}_{\diamond} A \quad \text{bv}(\alpha) \cap \text{fv}(Q) = \emptyset}{P \mid Q \xrightarrow{\alpha}_{\diamond} A \mid Q}$$

$$\text{STRUCT}' \quad \frac{P \overset{\diamond}{\equiv} Q \quad Q \xrightarrow{\alpha}_{\diamond} B \quad B \equiv A}{P \xrightarrow{\alpha}_{\diamond} A}$$

Labelled reduction on partial normal forms

Labelled reduction $A \xrightarrow{\alpha}_\circ B$, where

- A is an extended process in partial normal form and
- B is an extended process

$$\frac{
 \begin{array}{l}
 A \equiv \nu \tilde{n}.(\sigma \mid P) \quad P \xrightarrow{\alpha'}_\diamond B' \quad B \equiv \nu \tilde{n}.(\sigma \mid B') \\
 fv(\sigma) \cap bv(\alpha') = \emptyset \quad \Sigma \vdash \alpha\sigma = \alpha' \\
 \text{the elements of } \tilde{n} \text{ do not occur in } \alpha
 \end{array}
 }{
 A \xrightarrow{\alpha}_\circ B
 }$$

Links between labelled reductions

Lemma (Characterization of labelled reductions)

$P \xrightarrow{\alpha}_{\diamond} A$ if and only if for some \tilde{n} , P_1 , P_2 , A_1 , N , M , P' , x ,
 $P \stackrel{\diamond}{\equiv} \nu\tilde{n}.(P_1 \mid P_2)$, $A \equiv \nu\tilde{n}.(A_1 \mid P_2)$, $\{\tilde{n}\} \cap \text{fn}(\alpha) = \emptyset$,
 $\text{bv}(\alpha) \cap \text{fv}(P_1 \mid P_2) = \emptyset$, and one of the following two cases holds:

- ① $\alpha = N(M)$, $P_1 = N(x).P'$, and $A_1 = P' \{M/x\}$; or
- ② $\alpha = \nu x.\bar{N}\langle x \rangle$, $P_1 = \bar{N}\langle M \rangle.P'$, and $A_1 = P' \mid \{M/x\}$.

Lemma

- If $A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B$, then $\text{pnf}(A) \xrightarrow{\alpha}_{\circ} B$.
- If $P \xrightarrow{\alpha}_{\diamond} A$, then $P \xrightarrow{\alpha} A$.
- If $A \xrightarrow{\alpha}_{\circ} B$, then $A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B$.

Decomposition of labelled reductions: plain processes

Lemma

Suppose that P_0 is closed, α is $\nu x. \overline{N'} \langle x \rangle$ or $N'(M')$ for some ground term N' , and $P_0 \xrightarrow{\alpha}_{\diamond} A$. Then one of the following cases holds:

- ① $P_0 = P \mid Q$ and either $P \xrightarrow{\alpha}_{\diamond} A'$ and $A \equiv A' \mid Q$, or $Q \xrightarrow{\alpha}_{\diamond} A'$ and $A \equiv P \mid A'$, for some P, Q , and A' ;
- ② $P_0 = \nu n.P$, $P \xrightarrow{\alpha}_{\diamond} A'$, and $A \equiv \nu n.A'$ for some P, A' , and n that does not occur in α ;
- ③ $P_0 = !P$, $P \xrightarrow{\alpha}_{\diamond} A'$, and $A \equiv A' \mid !P$ for some P and A' ;
- ④ $P_0 = N(x).P$, $\alpha = N'(M')$, $\Sigma \vdash N = N'$, and $A \equiv P\{M'/x\}$ for some N, x, P, N' , and M' ;
- ⑤ $P_0 = \overline{N} \langle M \rangle.P$, $\alpha = \nu x. \overline{N'} \langle x \rangle$, $\Sigma \vdash N = N'$, $x \notin \text{fv}(P_0)$, and $A \equiv P \mid \{M'/x\}$ for some N, M, P, x , and N' .

Decomposition of labelled reductions: partial normal forms

Lemma

If

- $\nu\tilde{n}.\langle\sigma \mid P\rangle$ is a closed extended process in partial normal form,
- $\nu\tilde{n}.\langle\sigma \mid P\rangle \xrightarrow{\alpha}_\circ A$,
- $fv(\alpha) \subseteq dom(\sigma)$, and
- the elements of \tilde{n} do not occur in α ,

then $P \xrightarrow{\alpha\sigma}_\diamond A'$, $A \equiv \nu\tilde{n}.\langle\sigma \mid A'\rangle$, and $bv(\alpha) \cap dom(\sigma) = \emptyset$ for some A' .

Composition of labelled reductions

Lemma

If

- P and Q are closed processes, N is a ground term,
- $P \xrightarrow{N(x)}_{\diamond} A$, and
- $Q \xrightarrow{\nu x. \bar{N}(x)}_{\diamond} B$,

then $P | Q \rightarrow_{\diamond} R$ and $R \equiv \nu x. (A | B)$ for some R .

Decomposition of internal reductions: plain processes

Lemma

Suppose that P_0 is a closed process and $P_0 \rightarrow_{\diamond} R$. Then one of the following cases holds:

- ① $P_0 = P \mid Q$ for some P and Q , and one of the following cases holds:
 - ① $P \rightarrow_{\diamond} P'$ and $R \equiv P' \mid Q$ for some closed process P' ,
 - ② $P \xrightarrow{N(x)}_{\diamond} A$, $Q \xrightarrow{\nu x. \bar{N}(x)}_{\diamond} B$, and $R \equiv \nu x.(A \mid B)$ for some A , B , x , and ground term N ,

and two symmetric cases obtained by swapping P and Q ;

- ② $P_0 = \nu n.P$, $P \rightarrow_{\diamond} Q'$, and $R \equiv \nu n.Q'$ for some n and some closed processes P and Q' ;
- ③ $P_0 = !P$, $P \mid P \rightarrow_{\diamond} Q'$, and $R \equiv Q' \mid !P$ for some closed processes P and Q' .
- ④ $P_0 = \text{if } M = N \text{ then } P \text{ else } Q$ and either $\Sigma \vdash M = N$ and $R \equiv P$, or $\Sigma \vdash M \neq N$ and $R \equiv Q$, for some M , N , P , and Q .

Decomposition of internal reductions: partial normal forms

Lemma

If

- $\nu\tilde{n}.\langle\sigma \mid P\rangle$ is a closed extended process in partial normal form and
- $\nu\tilde{n}.\langle\sigma \mid P\rangle \rightarrow_{\circ} A$,

then $P \rightarrow_{\diamond} P'$ and $A \equiv \nu\tilde{n}.\langle\sigma \mid P'\rangle$ for some closed process P' .

Proof technique

- Induction on the derivations.
- Strengthen the inductive invariant, to be able to apply the current lemma to a derivation built as a result of applying the inductive hypothesis.

Static equivalence

Lemma

Static equivalence is

- *invariant by structural equivalence and reduction, and*
- *closed by application of closing evaluation contexts.*

For the second point,

- show that we can restrict ourselves to contexts $E = \nu \tilde{u}.(- \mid C)$ such that all subcontexts of E are closing.
- proceed by structural induction on E .

Context closure

Lemma

\approx_I is closed by application of closing evaluation contexts.

- Restrict attention to contexts of the form $\nu\tilde{u}._{-} | C$.
- To every relation \mathcal{R} on closed extended processes, we associate $\mathcal{R}' = \{(\nu\tilde{u}.(A | C), \nu\tilde{u}.(B | C)) \mid A \mathcal{R} B, \nu\tilde{u}._{-} | C \text{ closing for } A \text{ and } B\}$.
- We prove that, if \mathcal{R} is a labelled bisimulation, then \mathcal{R}' is a labelled bisimulation up to \equiv , hence $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{R}' \subseteq \approx_I$.
- For $\mathcal{R} = \approx_I$, this property entails that \approx_I is closed by application of evaluation contexts $\nu\tilde{u}._{-} | C$.

Context closure

- To every relation \mathcal{R} on closed extended processes, we associate $\mathcal{R}' = \{(\nu\tilde{u}.(A \mid C), \nu\tilde{u}.(B \mid C)) \mid A \mathcal{R} B, \nu\tilde{u}.(- \mid C) \text{ closing for } A \text{ and } B\}$.
- We prove that, if \mathcal{R} is a labelled bisimulation, then \mathcal{R}' is a labelled bisimulation up to \equiv .

Definition

A relation \mathcal{R} on closed extended processes is a **labelled bisimulation up to \equiv** if and only if \mathcal{R} is symmetric and $A \mathcal{R} B$ implies:

- 1 $A \approx_s B$;
- 2 if $A \rightarrow A'$ and A' is closed, then $B \rightarrow^* B'$ and $A' \equiv \mathcal{R} \equiv B'$ for some closed B' ;
- 3 if $A \xrightarrow{\alpha} A'$, A' is closed, and $fv(\alpha) \subseteq dom(A)$, then $B \rightarrow^* \xrightarrow{\alpha} \rightarrow^* B'$ and $A' \equiv \mathcal{R} \equiv B'$ for some closed B' .

Context closure

- To every relation \mathcal{R} on closed extended processes, we associate $\mathcal{R}' = \{(\nu\tilde{u}.(A|C), \nu\tilde{u}.(B|C)) \mid A \mathcal{R} B, \nu\tilde{u}.(-|C) \text{ closing for } A \text{ and } B\}$.
- We prove that, if \mathcal{R} is a labelled bisimulation, then \mathcal{R}' is a labelled bisimulation up to \equiv .

Assume $S \mathcal{R}' T$, with $S = \nu\tilde{u}.(A|C)$, $T = \nu\tilde{u}.(B|C)$, and $A \mathcal{R} B$.

- $S \approx_s T$ follows from $A \approx_s B$ by a previous lemma.
- For reductions, consider the partial normal forms of A, B, C :
 $\text{pnf}(A) = \nu\tilde{n}.(\sigma \mid P)$, $\text{pnf}(B) = \nu\tilde{n}'.(\sigma' \mid P')$, $\text{pnf}(C) = \nu\tilde{n}''.(\sigma'' \mid P'')$.

A reduction on $S = \nu\tilde{u}.(A|C)$ implies a reduction on $P \mid P''\sigma$, so a reduction on P and/or $P''\sigma$ (by the decomposition lemmas).

A reduction on P implies a reduction A , so the same reduction on B since \mathcal{R} is a labelled bisimulation, so a reduction on P' .

A reduction on $P''\sigma$ implies a reduction on $P''\sigma'$ by static equivalence $A \approx_s B$.

Hence we obtain a reduction on $P' \mid P''\sigma'$, hence on $T = \nu\tilde{u}.(B|C)$.

Characterizing barbs

Lemma

Let A be a closed extended process.

$A \Downarrow a$ if and only if $A \rightarrow^* \xrightarrow{\nu x. \bar{a}\langle x \rangle} A'$ for some fresh variable x and some A' .

$A \equiv E[\bar{a}\langle M \rangle.P]$ for some evaluation context $E[-]$ that does not bind a if and only if

$A \xrightarrow{\nu x. \bar{a}\langle x \rangle} A'$ for some fresh variable x and some A' .

Labelled bisimilarity implies observational equivalence

Lemma

$$\approx_I \subseteq \approx.$$

\approx_I satisfies the three properties of observational bisimulations:

- 1 \approx_I preserves barbs, by characterization of barbs and Properties 2 and 3 of a labelled bisimulation.
- 2 Suppose that $A \approx_I B$, $A \rightarrow^* A'$, and A' is closed. Close all intermediate processes in $A \rightarrow^* A'$, then conclude that $B \rightarrow^* B'$ and $A' \approx_I B'$ for some B' by Property 2 of a labelled bisimulation.
- 3 \approx_I is closed by application of closing evaluation contexts, as shown previously.

Moreover, \approx_I is symmetric. Since \approx is the largest observational bisimulation, we obtain $\approx_I \subseteq \approx$.

Observational equivalence implies static equivalence

Lemma

$$\approx \subseteq \approx_s.$$

If A and B are observationally equivalent, then $A \mid C$ and $B \mid C$ have the same barb $\Downarrow a$ for every $C = \text{if } M = N \text{ then } \bar{a}\langle s \rangle$, where a does not occur in A or B and $fv(M) \cup fv(N) \subseteq dom(A)$.

Assuming that A is closed, $fv(M) \cup fv(N) \subseteq dom(A)$, and a does not occur in A , we have

$(M = N)\varphi(A)$ if and only if $A \mid \text{if } M = N \text{ then } \bar{a}\langle s \rangle \Downarrow a$.

(Shown using partial normal forms.)

Characterizing inputs

Let $T_{N(M)}^P \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bar{p}\langle p \rangle \mid \bar{N}\langle M \rangle.p(x)$.

Lemma

Let A be a closed extended process. Let N and M be terms such that $\text{fv}(\bar{N}\langle M \rangle) \subseteq \text{dom}(A)$ and p does not occur in A , M , and N .

- If $A \xrightarrow{N(M)} A'$ and p does not occur in A' , then $A \mid T_{N(M)}^P \rightarrow \rightarrow A'$ and $A' \not\Downarrow p$.
- If $A \mid T_{N(M)}^P \rightarrow^* A'$ and $A' \not\Downarrow p$, then $A \rightarrow^* \xrightarrow{N(M)} \rightarrow^* A'$.

Shown using partial normal forms.

Characterizing outputs

Let $T_{\nu x. \bar{N}\langle x \rangle}^{p,q} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bar{p}\langle p \rangle \mid N(x).p(y).\bar{q}\langle x \rangle$.

Lemma

Let A be a closed extended process and N such that $fv(N) \subseteq dom(A)$.

- If $A \xrightarrow{\nu x. \bar{N}\langle x \rangle} A'$ and p and q do not occur in A , A' , and N , then $A \mid T_{\nu x. \bar{N}\langle x \rangle}^{p,q} \rightarrow \rightarrow \nu x.(A' \mid \bar{q}\langle x \rangle)$, $\nu x.(A' \mid \bar{q}\langle x \rangle) \not\Downarrow p$, and $x \notin dom(A)$.
- If $A \mid T_{\nu x. \bar{N}\langle x \rangle}^{p,q} \rightarrow^* A''$, $A'' \not\Downarrow p$, $x \notin dom(A)$, and p and q do not occur in A and N , then $A \rightarrow^* \xrightarrow{\nu x. \bar{N}\langle x \rangle} \rightarrow^* A'$ and $A'' \equiv \nu x.(A' \mid \bar{q}\langle x \rangle)$ for some A' .

Shown using partial normal forms.

Extrusion

Lemma (Extrusion)

Let A and B two closed extended processes with a same domain that contains \tilde{x} . Let $E_{\tilde{x}}[-] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \nu \tilde{x}. (\prod_{x \in \tilde{x}} \overline{n_x} \langle x \rangle \mid -)$ using names n_x that do not occur in A or B . If $E_{\tilde{x}}[A] \approx E_{\tilde{x}}[B]$, then $A \approx B$.

If A is a closed extended process with $\{\tilde{x}\} \subseteq \text{dom}(A)$ and $E_{\tilde{x}}[A] \rightarrow C'$, then $A \rightarrow A'$ and $C' \equiv E_{\tilde{x}}[A']$ for some closed extended process A' .
(Proved using partial normal forms.)

Let $A \mathcal{R} B$ if and only if $\{\tilde{x}\} \subseteq \text{dom}(A) = \text{dom}(B)$ and $E_{\tilde{x}}[A] \approx E_{\tilde{x}}[B]$, for some \tilde{x} and some names \tilde{n}_x that do not occur in A or B .

We show that \mathcal{R} is an observational bisimulation.

Observational equivalence implies labelled bisimilarity

Lemma

$$\approx \subseteq \approx_l.$$

The relation \approx is symmetric. It satisfies the three properties of labelled bisimulations:

- ① If $A \approx B$, then $A \approx_s B$, shown previously.
- ② If $A \approx B$, $A \rightarrow A'$, and A' is closed, then $B \rightarrow^* B'$ and $A' \approx B'$ for some B' , by Property 2 of the definition of observational bisimulation.
- ③ If $A \approx B$, $A \xrightarrow{\alpha} A'$, A' is closed, and $fv(\alpha) \subseteq dom(A)$, then $B \rightarrow^* \xrightarrow{\alpha} \rightarrow^* B'$ and $A' \approx B'$ for some B' . To prove this property, we rely on characteristic parallel contexts T_α , shown in previous lemmas. In the output case, we obtain a pair $\nu x.(A' | \bar{q}(x)) \approx \nu x.(B' | \bar{q}(x))$, and conclude by the extrusion lemma.

Hence \approx is a labelled bisimulation, and $\approx \subseteq \approx_l$, since \approx_l is the largest labelled bisimulation.

Conclusion

- Importance of **detailed proofs**.
 - Could be interesting to formalize in a theorem prover, e.g. Coq.
- **Partial normal forms** are likely to be useful for proving many other results about the applied pi calculus.
- With the minor changes we made, one should be able to show that
 - The plain processes of the applied pi calculus are a subset of the **ProVerif** input language.
 - The semantics and the notions of observational equivalence match.
- Does anybody want to read the draft?