

# Formally Verified Security



#### **Current group:**

- Talk Cătălin Hrițcu (Faculty)
- Posters Cezar Andrici (PhD)
  - Jérémy Thibault (PhD)
  - Rob Blanco (PostDoc)

Discuss - Maxi Wuttke (PhD)

- Dongjae Lee (Intern)

#### Alumni:

- Carmine Abate (PhD)
- Théo Winterhalter (PostDoc)
- Adrien Durier (PostDoc)
- Aïna Linn Georges (Intern)

+ many more before MPI-SP

#### **Secure Compilation of Secure Source Programs**

- Suppose we have a secure source program ...
  - For instance formally verified in F\* [POPL'16,'17,'18,'20, ICFP'17,'19, ...]
  - e.g. EverCrypt verified crypto library, shipping in Firefox, Linux Kernel, ...
  - e.g. simple verified web server, linking with unverified libraries (Cezar's poster)
- What happens when we compile such a verified program and link it with adversarial low-level code?
  - low-level code that can be buggy, vulnerable, compromised, malicious
  - currently: all guarantees are lost, lower-level attacks become possible
  - secure compilation: protect the source abstractions all the way down



#### Secure Compilation of Vulnerable Source Programs

- Insecure languages like C enable devastating vulnerabilities
- Mitigate vulnerabilities by compartmentalizing the program
- We don't know which compartments will be compromised
  - protect vulnerable C compartments from each other
- We don't know when a compartment will be compromised
  - every compartment should receive protection until compromised







Where  $\pi$  can e.g. be "the web server's private key is not leaked"

We explored many classes of properties one can preserve this way: Journey Beyond Full Abstraction [CSF'19, ESOP'20, TOPLAS'21]

More interesting definition for vulnerable C compartments [CSF'16, CCS'18]

#### **2. Security Enforcement**



## **3. Security Proofs**

- Proving mathematically that our compilation chains achieve secure compilation
  - such proofs generally very difficult and tedious
    - wrong conjectures for full abstraction survived for decades
    - 250 pages of proof on paper for toy compiler
  - we propose more scalable proof techniques
  - machine-checked proofs in the Coq and F\* proof assistants
  - systematic testing to find wrong conjectures early [POPL'17, ICFP'13, ITP'15, JFP'16]



### **Testing and Proving Secure Compilation in Coq**



#### Systematic testing

**Future Plans on Formally Secure Compilation** 







Preserve data confidentiality SPECTRE against micro-architectural side-channel attacks, for arbitrary compartmentalized programs in F\*, C, or Wasm (not only constant time crypto code)



Realistic Enforcement

Better Proof Techniques



ARM Morello Capability passing capability machine

Verify capability backend