

Featherweight Breeze: Step 3/4

Cătălin Hrițcu, Benoît Montagu, Benjamin C. Pierce, and the Breeze team

December 8, 2011

1 Syntax

L, H, pc	$::=$	\top	M	label
		\perp	M	top secret
		$L_1 \vee L_2$	M	unclassified
		(L)	S	label join
c	$::=$	$()$		constants
		true		unit
		false		true
		L		false
				label
t	$::=$	c		terms
		x		constant
		$\lambda x. t$	bind x in t	variable
		$t_1 t_2$		abstraction
		let $x = t_1$ in t_2	bind x in t_2	application
		(t_1, t_2)		let
		fst t		pairing
		snd t		first projection
		if t_1 then t_2 else t_3		second projection
		$t_1 == t_2$		conditional
		$t_1 @ t_2$		equality on constants
		$t_1 \langle t_2 \rangle$		classify t_1 with label t_2
		labelOf t		executes t_2 , labels result with t_1 , restores pc
		getPc $()$		returns the label of t
		valueOf t		returns the current pc
				takes label of t and joins it to pc

	$[t]$ (t)	M S	wrong attempt to define brackets uses this
v	$::=$ c $\langle \rho, \lambda x. t \rangle$ (a_1, a_2)	bind x in t	values constants closures pairs
a	$::=$ $v @ L$		atoms labeled value
ρ	$::=$ $empty$ $\rho, x : a$ (ρ)	S	environments

2 Evaluation with Dynamic IF Control

$\rho \vdash t, pc \Downarrow a, pc'$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{\rho \vdash c, pc \Downarrow c @ \perp, pc} \text{ EVAL_CONST} \\
 \\
 \frac{\rho(x) = a}{\rho \vdash x, pc \Downarrow a, pc} \text{ EVAL_VAR} \\
 \\
 \frac{}{\rho \vdash (\lambda x. t), pc \Downarrow \langle \rho, \lambda x. t \rangle @ \perp, pc} \text{ EVAL_ABS} \\
 \\
 \frac{\rho \vdash t', pc \Downarrow \langle \rho', \lambda x. t \rangle @ L', pc' \quad \rho \vdash t'', pc' \Downarrow a'', pc'' \quad (\rho', x : a'') \vdash t, (pc'' \vee L') \Downarrow a, pc'''}{\rho \vdash (t' t''), pc \Downarrow a, pc'''} \text{ EVAL_APP} \\
 \\
 \frac{\rho \vdash t', pc \Downarrow a', pc' \quad (\rho, x : a') \vdash t'', pc' \Downarrow a'', pc''}{\rho \vdash (\text{let } x = t' \text{ in } t''), pc \Downarrow a'', pc''} \text{ EVAL_LET} \\
 \\
 \frac{\rho \vdash t', pc \Downarrow a', pc' \quad \rho \vdash t'', pc' \Downarrow a'', pc''}{\rho \vdash (t', t''), pc \Downarrow (a', a'') @ \perp, pc''} \text{ EVAL_PAIR} \\
 \\
 \frac{\rho \vdash t, pc \Downarrow (v' @ L', a'') @ L, pc'}{\rho \vdash (\text{fst } t), pc \Downarrow v' @ L', (pc' \vee L)} \text{ EVAL_FST} \\
 \\
 \frac{\rho \vdash t, pc \Downarrow (a', v'' @ L'') @ L, pc'}{\rho \vdash (\text{snd } t), pc \Downarrow v'' @ L'', (pc' \vee L)} \text{ EVAL_SND}
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\rho \vdash t, pc \Downarrow \text{true}@L, pc' \quad \rho \vdash t', (pc' \vee L) \Downarrow a', pc''}{\rho \vdash (\text{if } t \text{ then } t' \text{ else } t''), pc \Downarrow a', pc''} \text{ EVAL_IF_TRUE} \\
\\
\frac{\rho \vdash t, pc \Downarrow \text{false}@L, pc' \quad \rho \vdash t'', (pc' \vee L) \Downarrow a'', pc''}{\rho \vdash (\text{if } t \text{ then } t' \text{ else } t''), pc \Downarrow a'', pc''} \text{ EVAL_IF_FALSE} \\
\\
\frac{\rho \vdash t', pc \Downarrow c'@L', pc' \quad \rho \vdash t'', pc' \Downarrow c''@L'', pc'' \quad v \triangleq c' = c''}{\rho \vdash (t' == t''), pc \Downarrow v@(L' \vee L''), pc''} \text{ EVAL_EQ} \\
\\
\frac{\rho \vdash t, pc \Downarrow v@L, pc' \quad \rho \vdash t', pc' \Downarrow L'@L'', pc''}{\rho \vdash (t@t'), pc \Downarrow v@(L \vee L'), (pc'' \vee L'')} \text{ EVAL_CLASSIFY} \\
\\
\frac{\rho \vdash t', pc \Downarrow L@L', pc' \quad \rho \vdash t'', (pc' \vee L') \Downarrow v@L'', pc'' \quad L'' \vee pc'' \sqsubseteq L \vee (pc' \vee L')}{\rho \vdash t' \langle t'' \rangle, pc \Downarrow v@L, (pc' \vee L')} \text{ EVAL_BRACKET} \\
\\
\frac{\rho \vdash t, pc \Downarrow v@L, pc'}{\rho \vdash \text{labelOf } t, pc \Downarrow L@\perp, pc'} \text{ EVAL_LABELOF} \\
\\
\frac{}{\rho \vdash \text{getPc } (), pc \Downarrow pc@\perp, pc} \text{ EVAL_GETPC} \\
\\
\frac{\rho \vdash t, pc \Downarrow v@L, pc'}{\rho \vdash \text{valueOf } t, pc \Downarrow v@\perp, (pc' \vee L)} \text{ EVAL_VALUEOF}
\end{array}$$

3 Brackets

Brackets are constructs for executing a computation and restoring the initial pc when the computation ends.

3.1 First try

$$\frac{\rho \vdash t, pc \Downarrow v@L, pc'}{\rho \vdash [t], pc \Downarrow v@(L \vee pc'), pc}$$

The main idea is to move some of the protection from the pc to the label on the resulting value. Since v is protected in the premise by L and by pc' , in the result we can move all this protection to the label of v , which is now $L \vee pc'$, and the pc can safely be restored to the original one. The reason this doesn't quite work is that labels are public, and while in the premise the label L is protected by pc' , in the conclusion L would only be protected by the (potentially lower) pc . Here is a counterexample exploiting the label channel:

let $y = [\text{if } x@H \text{ then } ()@H \text{ else } ()@T]$ in $\text{publish}(\text{labelOf } y) == H$

Another problem is that in the premise pc' is protected by itself, while in the conclusion pc' is protected only by pc . The counterexample for this looks as follows:

let $y = [\text{if } x@H \text{ then } raisePc\ H \text{ else } raisePc\ \top] \text{ in } publish\ (\text{labelOf } y) == H$
 where $raisePc \triangleq \lambda x.((\lambda y.y)@x)\ ()$ (in step 4/4 we'll add a $raisePc$ primitive).

3.2 Second try: closing the label channel

$$\frac{\rho \vdash t, pc \Downarrow v@L'', pc'' \quad L'' \vee pc'' \sqsubseteq L}{\rho \vdash L\langle t \rangle, pc \Downarrow v@L, pc}$$

We close the label channel by requiring the user to choose in advance the label on the result. This way the label on the result cannot depend on secrets. This works but is still too restrictive: because of the $L'' \vee pc'' \sqsubseteq L$ condition in the premise we cannot use brackets to classify values to a low label in a high context. For instance $\text{if } x@\top \text{ then } \perp\langle \text{true} \rangle \text{ else } ()$ fails, although $\text{if } x@\top \text{ then } \text{true}@\perp \text{ else } ()$ works fine.

3.3 Third try: making brackets the ultimate classification construct

$$\frac{\rho \vdash t, pc \Downarrow v@L'', pc'' \quad L'' \vee pc'' \sqsubseteq L \vee pc}{\rho \vdash L\langle t \rangle, pc \Downarrow v@L, pc}$$

The intuition is that the final value is not only protected by L , but also by the pc , so we can relax the premise of the rule from $L'' \vee pc'' \sqsubseteq L$ to $L'' \vee pc'' \sqsubseteq L \vee pc$. This works but is still too restrictive, since the label L is required to be a constant.

3.4 Fourth try: first-class labels on brackets

$$\frac{\rho \vdash t', pc \Downarrow L@\perp, pc' \quad \rho \vdash t'', pc' \Downarrow v@L'', pc'' \quad L'' \vee pc'' \sqsubseteq L \vee pc}{\rho \vdash t'\langle t'' \rangle, pc \Downarrow v@L, pc}$$

This step is very easy, but only as long as the label on L is required to be \perp .

3.5 Fifth try: the final rule

The final EVAL_BRACKET rule additionally takes care of the label on L by raising the pc appropriately, otherwise it's the same as before.

4 Other Changes wrt Step 2

- Dropped automatic pc lowering/restoring. Now threading the pc through as a piece of state.
- Made pc be non-infectious.
- Made all labels public: `labelOf` no longer protects the resulting label with itself, and added a new `getPc` construct.

- The old rules for pair projection (EVAL_FST and EVAL_SND) are unsound in our new public label setting. The fix is to join the outer pair label to the resulting pc .
- The old rule for classification would also be unsound in our new public label setting; fixed. Classification is anyway completely subsumed by brackets: $t_1 @ t_2 \triangleq \text{let } x = t_2 \text{ in } x \langle t_1 \rangle$.
- Added new `valueOf` construct that strips off the label of an atom and joins it to the pc . This is roughly the dual of brackets, which take taint from the pc and put it in the label of the result.

5 Counterexamples

- Here is why EVAL_FST and EVAL_SND had to change:
 $\text{let } y = H \langle \text{if } x @ H \text{ then } (()) @ H, () \text{ else } (()) @ \top, () \rangle \text{ in } \text{publish } (\text{labelOf } (\text{fst } y) == H)$

6 This Fixes the Two Problems from Step 2

6.1 The “Infectious pc ” Problem Fixed

$\text{empty} \vdash H \langle \text{if } (\text{true} @ H) \text{ then } (\text{true}, (\text{false}, ())) \text{ else } (()) \rangle, \perp \Downarrow (\text{true} @ \perp, (\text{false} @ \perp, (()) @ \perp) @ \perp) @ H, \perp$

6.2 The “Poison Pill” Problem Fixed

- Labels are now public.
- Critical components can use `labelOf` to protect themselves from “poison pills”.
- IFC violations no longer need to be fatal errors (still a lot of care needs to be used when adding exceptions, they don’t interact too well with brackets).